## **REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**

#### I. Status of Claims

Claims 1-32 were originally filed. Claims 1-32 were subject to a Restriction Requirement. After response to the Restriction Requirement, claims 1-32 are elected for prosecution. Claims 1 and 2 are amended to correct typographical and punctuation mistakes. Claims 1 and 2 are also amended to recite proper Markush groups. Claim 28 is amended to correct punctuation mistakes. Claim 28 is also amended to recite a proper Markush group. Claims 29-32 are amended to make the claims clear and concise. New claims 33-42 are added. New claims 33-42 are directed to particular species of Formulae III and IV. No new matter has been added. Support for the new claims may be found throughout the specification.

# II. Response to the Restriction Requirement

The claims are restricted into three groups. Applicants elect Group I for prosecution on the merits. The claims of Group I are drawn to a process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with carboxamide compounds when X is O. Claims 1-32 fall within Group I. New claims 33-42 also fall within Group I.

The election of the claims of Group I is made with traverse. The claims emerge from a common inventive concept; a process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with carboxamide compounds, a process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with thiocarboxamide compounds and a process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with amidine compounds. The Applicants respectfully submit that searching the process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with carboxamide compounds, the process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with thiocarboxamide compounds and the process of modulating an Edg-3 receptor mediated biological activity with amidine compounds would not be an undue burden on the Examiner.

Appl. No. 10/760,003 Amdt. dated June 23, 2006 Restriction Requirement dated April 25, 2006

## III. Response to the Election of Species Requirement

The Examiner has required the Applicants to elect a single species and a disease condition in which the identity of each claim element is explicitly set forth. Applicants elect compound 101 which is set forth below:

Applicants also elect cardiovascular disease as the disease condition. Cardiovascular disease includes but is not limited to ischemia and atherosclerosis.

Applicants submit that pending claims 1, 3-32 and new claims 33-42 are readable on the elected species.

Applicants respectfully submit that such elections are without prejudice and expressly reserve the right to prosecute the non-elected species and claims at a later stage.

## **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, Applicants believe all claims now pending in this Application are in condition for allowance. The issuance of a formal Notice of Allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Appl. No. 10/760,003 Amdt. dated June 23, 2006 Restriction Requirement dated April 25, 2006

If the Examiner believes a telephone conference would expedite prosecution of this application, please telephone the undersigned at 415-442-1000.

Respectfully submitted,

Alok Goel (Reg. No. 51,745) on behalf of Thomas D. Kohler (Reg. No. 32,797)

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, California 94306

Tel: (415) 442-1000 Fax: (415) 442-1001

1-SF/7373670.1